

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/786,924	02/24/2004	Bernhard Keppler	8182-28US(PA33267US) 4879		
570	7590 12/11/2006		EXAM	INER	
AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD L.L.P.			AULAKH, C	AULAKH, CHARANJIT	
ONE COMM	ERCE SQUARE				
2005 MARKET STREET, SUITE 2200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHILADELPHIA PA 19103			1625		

DATE MAILED: 12/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/786,924	KEPPLER, BERNHARD			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Charanjit S. Aulakh	1625			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 No	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 November 2006				
<i>i</i>	,—				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
closed in decordance with the produce and the partie addyte, 1000 C.D. 11, 400 C.G. 210.					
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5-7 and 9-34 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 and 8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
		,			
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Other: Statement of Trademark Office Other: Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/786,924

Art Unit: 1625

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. According to paper filed on Nov. 20, 2006, the applicants have elected group II without traverse for further prosecution in response to restriction requirement. Claims 5-7 and 9-34 are withdrawn from further consideration as being drawn to non-elected inventions. Although it was indicated by mistake that claims 1-34 read on all five groups in the restriction requirement yet close inspection of the values of various variables in compounds of formulae (V), (VI), Ib, IIb, IIIb, IVb, Ic, IIc, IIIc and IVc encompassing instant claims 9-34 revealed that these compounds do not read upon the elected group II.

2. Claims 1-4 and 8 are now pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 1-4 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The following eight different factors (see Ex parte Foreman, 230 USPQ at 547; Wands, In re, 858.F. 2d 731, 8 USPQ 2d 1400, Fed. Cir. 1988) must be considered in order for the specification to be enabling for what is being claimed:

Application/Control Number: 10/786,924

Art Unit: 1625

Quantity of experimentation necessary, the amount of direction or guidance provided, presence or absence of working examples, the nature of the invention, the state of the prior art, the relative skill of those in the art, the predictability or unpredictability and the breadth of claims. In the instant case, the specification is not enabling based on atleast four of the above mentioned eight different factors such as quantity of experimentation necessary, the amount of direction or guidance provided, presence of working examples, state of the prior art, unpredictability and the breadth of claims.

The instant claims 1-4 are directed to treating diseases while instant claim 8 is directed to treating tumor diseases by the instant compounds. However, there is no teaching or guidance present in the specification regarding treating specific disease conditions by the instant compounds. There are no working examples present showing efficacy of instant compounds in vitro or in vivo animal models of any disease condition. There is no teaching either in the specification or prior art showing well established utility of structurally closely related closed ring compounds in treating any disease condition. There is lot of unpredictability regarding antitumor activity of closed ring compounds as admitted by applicants on page 7, lines 19-20 since Kuroda (J. Chem. Soc. 1983, cited on applicant's form 1449) demonstrated lack of antitumor activity in L1210 leukaemia by the instant compounds. The instant compounds of formula II (which reads on the elected group) encompasses hundreds of thousands of compounds based on the values of variables R2, R3, R2' and R3' and therefore, in absence of such teachings. guidance, presence of working examples, unpredictability and the state of the prior art, it would require undue experimentation to demonstrate the efficacy of instant compounds

Art Unit: 1625

in known in vitro or in vivo animal models of all known disease conditions and/or tumors and hence their utility for treating these disease conditions.

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 1-4 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 1-4, it is not clear which specific disease conditions are being treated by the instant compounds.

In claim 8, it is not clear which specific tumor diseases are being treated by the instant compounds.

Claims 1-4 and 8 provide for the use of compounds, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

7. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

8. Claims 1-4 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under

Application/Control Number: 10/786,924 Page 5

Art Unit: 1625

35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1-4 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kuroda
 Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans., cited on applicants form 1449).

Kuroda discloses structure of cis(NN'), trans(OO')-bis(aminoethanolato)-cis-dichloroplatinum (IV) and its antitumor effect on L1210 leukaemia. The compound disclosed in figure 2 (see page 824) disclosed by Kuroda anticipates the instant claims when R2, R2', R3 and R3' all represent H in the instant compounds of formulae II and IIa.

- 10. Claims 1-4 and 8 are objected for containing non-elected subject matter.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charanjit S. Aulakh whose telephone number is (571)272-0678. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas McKenzie can be reached on (571)272-0670. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/786,924

Art Unit: 1625

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Charanjit S. Aulakh Primary Examiner Art Unit 1625